

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | / | 1 | |
|------|----|----|----|----|
| MENT | OF | CO | MM | EI |

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov RCE

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/870,405 | 05/30/2001 | Miyuki Sasaki | YAMAP0763US | 7470 |
| 7590 09/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Neil A. DuChe | z | | LEROUX, ETIE | NNE PIERRE |
| Renner, Otto, Bo | oisselle, & Sklar, L.L.P. | | | |
| 1621 Euclid Avenue, 19th Floor | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Cleveland, OH 44115 | | 2161 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/870,405 | SASAKI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Etienne P. LeRoux | 2161 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M | av 2001. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| , | , | | | | | | |
| • • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 32-40 is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-40</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2001</u> is/are: a) | | by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Claim Status

Claims 32-40 are pending. Claims 1-31 have been cancelled. Claims 32-40 are rejected as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 32 and 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Applicant's admitted prior art.

Claims 32 and 35-39:

Applicant discloses as admitted prior art:

a data recording area in which a lead-in area and a volume space are allocated in advance [Fig 13, 108],

wherein an advanced VAT structure area is allocated in the volume space [Fig 13, 122], advanced VAT information for managing a relationship between virtual addresses each indicating an address in a virtual address space and logical addresses each indicating an address in a logical address space is recorded in the advanced VAT structure area [paragraph 20]

in the advanced VAT information basic structure information indicating a file structure which is indispensable for searching all files recorded in the information recording medium is assigned to at least one of the virtual addresses [paragraph 8].

Page 3

Claim 34:

Applicant discloses as admitted prior art wherein the advanced VAT structure area is allocated at an end of an accessible area [Fig 13, 130].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art and further in view of US Pat No 4,922,415 issued to Hemdal (hereafter Hemdal).

Claim 33:

Applicant discloses as admitted prior art the elements of claim 32 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the basic structure information includes a file set descriptor and a file entry of a root directory; and the advanced VAT information includes a first VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file set descriptor to a virtual address 0, and a second VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file entry of the root directory to a virtual address 1.

Hemdal discloses a virtual address of 0 and a virtual address of 1 [Fig 20, col 24, line 7 and col 24, line 30]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Applicant's admitted prior art to include wherein the basic structure information includes a file set descriptor and a file entry of a root directory; and the advanced VAT information includes a first VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file set descriptor to a virtual address 0, and a second VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file entry of the root directory to a virtual address 1 based on the teaching of Hemdal for the purpose of commencing entries in a table at a logical starting point.

Claim 40:

Applicant discloses as admitted prior art the elements of claim 39 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the basic structure information includes a file set descriptor and a file entry of a root directory; and the advanced VAT information includes a first VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file set descriptor to a virtual address 0, and a second VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file entry of the root directory to a virtual address 1. Hemdal discloses a virtual address of 0 and a virtual address of 1 [Fig 20, col 24, line 7 and col 24, line 30]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Applicant's admitted prior art to include wherein the basic structure information includes a file set descriptor and a file entry of a root directory; and the advanced VAT information includes a first VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file set descriptor to a virtual address 0, and a second VAT entry for assigning a logical address of the file entry of the root directory to a virtual address 1 based on the teaching of Hemdal for the purpose of commencing entries in a table at a logical starting point.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat No 6,681,239 issued to Munroe et al discloses shared address space among multiple virtual address spaces.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne P. LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Safet Metiahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Etienne LeRoux

27 Weine September 2, 2005